

CONFIDENTIAL AI DOCUMENT ANALYSIS

The case analyst that reads your papers — and **nothing else.**

Upload a judgment, a bundle, a set of statements. Ask what you need. DocAssist answers **only** from the documents in front of it and the closed CrimeLine library — never the open web — so what comes back is grounded, cited, and safe to rely on.

Built for criminal practitioners in England and Wales, DocAssist turns the everyday grind — summarising, comparing, timelining, spotting the point — into seconds of work. **Your file is read in your browser and never uploaded; only the extracted text is sent for analysis, encrypted in transit. CrimeLine stores none of it, and it is never used to train AI.**

EIGHT TASKS, ONE SECURE WORKSPACE

- 1 Case summary**
Parties, issues, findings and outcome in practitioner form — one summary per document, up to 25 at once.
- 2 Ask the documents**
Put any question and follow up in conversation. Answers quote the passage and cite the paragraph.
- 3 Compare**
Two documents side by side — agreements, conflicts and discrepancies, each attributed.
- 4 Inconsistency review**
Several statements cross-checked for contradictions — the cross-examination groundwork, done.
- 5 Timeline**
A chronology of dated events, merged across documents, with sources and conflict flags.
- 6 Extract**
People, exhibits, admissions, or every provision and authority cited — with references.
- 7 Issues spotter**
Arguable points and possible grounds surfaced as a research aid — never as advice.
- 8 Related CrimeLine cases**
The issues in your document matched against 45,000 case notes you already trust.

45,000CRIMELINE CASE
NOTES TO GROUND
ANSWERS**0**DOCUMENTS OR
TEXT KEPT BY
CRIMELINE**25**DOCUMENTS
ANALYSED IN ONE
PASS**Seconds**FROM UPLOAD TO A
CITED ANSWER

Ask a real question. Get a grounded answer.

A practitioner uploads two witness statements and an appeal ruling, then works the papers in plain language. Every answer is drawn from the documents and cites where it came from — and a follow-up can reach into the CrimeLine library for authority.

● Carter (statement).pdf · Miles (statement).pdf · Ruling.pdf

3 documents · read in your browser

ASK Do the two witnesses agree on the vehicle outside the premises?

DOC No. Mr Carter describes “**a silver car... registration FG12 ABC**” ([Carter, para 4](#)), while Ms Miles states there was “**a blue van, not a car**” and that she did not note a registration ([Miles, para 3](#)). The accounts conflict on vehicle type, colour and identifying detail.

FOLLOW-UP Any CrimeLine authority on identification where witness descriptions diverge like this?

DOC Yes — these CrimeLine case notes address the quality of identification evidence and Turnbull directions:

Identification · knowledge base | **R v Turnbull [1977] QB 224** — the leading direction on disputed identification | **Harron [1996] 2 Cr App R 457** — identification and alibi

WHY YOU CAN TRUST WHAT COMES BACK



Grounded, not guessing

Answers come only from your documents and the closed CrimeLine corpus. DocAssist cannot browse the open web, so it cannot import the internet's errors.



Nothing invented

Where the papers are silent, it says so. It flags a garbled citation rather than reproducing it, and refuses to supply facts that are not in the document.



Not stored by CrimeLine

Your file is read in your browser and never uploaded. Only the extracted text is sent for analysis, encrypted in transit, and none of it is written to CrimeLine's servers. Our AI processor may hold that text briefly — up to 30 days — for security, then deletes it.



Never used to train AI

Under commercial API terms, your inputs are not used to train models. Access is gated to signed-in CrimeLine subscribers, and every answer carries a verify-before-you-rely reminder.

Ready to put DocAssist to work?

Open it from your CrimeLine member area and drop in a document. Not yet a member? Subscribe to unlock DocAssist and the full library.

crimeline.co.uk

Sign in · Subscribe