

Meet your opponent's best case **at your desk, not on your feet.**

Paste your draft — a skeleton, grounds of appeal, a bail application, a sentencing note — and CrimeLine Ask attacks it as your opponent's best advocate would: proposition by proposition, with cited authority, and no interest in sparing your feelings. It attacks whichever side the draft advances: prosecution and defence are tested with the same edge.

1 Paste the draft

The argument you are about to serve, as it stands. It is used for this request only and never stored — the same strict handling as every document you give Ask.

2 The attack, proposition by proposition

Each material proposition meets the strongest contrary authority, statutory obstacle or procedural weakness in the library and the statute book — every point cited, nothing invented. Propositions the sources do not support are named plainly.

3 The three most dangerous points

The attack closes with the three points your opponent is most likely to take, in descending order of danger — the list you want the night before, not the morning after. Sound points are confirmed too: a false weakness is as dangerous as a missed one.

4 Then argue back

It is a live conversation: press the attack on any point, ask for the answer to it, export the exercise to Word. With a matter file open, the attack runs against your own case papers, as at the offence date.

Devil's advocate — the case against your draft

The submission that the ABE interview must be excluded in its entirety overstates the authorities. The court in K held that departures from the guidance go to weight rather than admissibility save in the extreme case ^[1], and the interview here contains free narrative passages on which the Crown will rely...

The draft asserts that no direction could cure the prejudice; the provided sources do not support that proposition, and the contrary approach in MH suggests a tailored direction suffices ^[2]...

The three most dangerous points: (1) K on weight not admissibility; (2) the free-narrative passages; (3) the absence of any application under s.78 in the alternative.

✓ 4 of 4 quotations verified verbatim against the source text

An honest opponent

The attack is built only from CrimeLine's own library and the official statute book, cited throughout, with every quotation checked verbatim against its source. Where the sources hold nothing against you, it says so — which is worth knowing too. No draft, question or answer is stored: the exercise exists for as long as you are looking at it, and then it is yours alone.

Serve it on yourself first.

Devil's advocate, inside CrimeLine Ask. Subscribers: log in, paste a draft, brace.

crimeline.co.uk